

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,842	02/02/2006	Laurent Francois Andre Hennequin	056291-5233	9376	
9629 7590 03/24/2009 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NV	V	MCDOWELL, BRIAN E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/566,842	HENNEQUIN, LAURENT		
	FRANCOIS ANDRE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRIAN MCDOWELL	1624		

	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN MCDOWELL	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SSI (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with graduation, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Cflice stater than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2/2	<u>2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		-(d) or (f).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been receive	on No	Stage			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pric	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pric	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pric	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Ind in this National d.	Stage			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Patent Applica
6) 🔲	Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/566,842 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-12 and 14, drawn to compounds of formula (IIb) and simple compositions thereof seen in claim 5 wherein Q^1 may be Q^2 , C_{14} alkyl- Q^{13} -C(O)- C_{14} alkyl- Q^{14} , and C_{15} alkyl Q^2 .
- Claims 1-12 and 14, drawn to compounds and simple compositions thereof not embraced by group I.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to a process of making compounds in either groups I orII (applicant must elect one compound group).
- IV. Claim 16, drawn to a method for producing an antiangiogenic and/or vascular permeability reducing effect in an animal by administering compounds in either groups I or II (applicant must elect one compound group).

Note: Election of a single disclosed chemical specie is required for any group elected.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,842

Art Unit: 1624

In addition, claim 15 is a non-statutory use claim and has not been placed in a group above. If the claim is amended, applicant is required to indicate the group in which the claim 15 is to be a part. Cancellation of this claim is also recommended as an alternative corrective measure.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The prior art by Hennequin et al. (WO 00/47212), which is provided in the ISR, disclose an identical genus structure to that of applicant's claimed genus structure (see abstract). Furthermore, the document discloses several species that fall within the scope of applicant's claimed genus structure (see page 334). Therefore, the compounds and methods are not novel and the invention lacks a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly Application/Control Number: 10/566,842

Art Unit: 1624

and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/566,842

Art Unit: 1624

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN MCDOWELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,842 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. E. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 1624

/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624